

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION
10/717,019	11/19/2003	Patrick C. St. Germain	SSS-109	6702
7590 03/14/2005		EXAMINER		
OLSON & HIERL, LTD.			JILLIONS, JOHN M	
36th Floor 20 North Wacker Drive		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, IL 60606			3654	
			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/717,019	ST. GERMAIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John M. Jillions	3654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated and accomplicated and accomplicated and accomplicated that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the same accomplication are objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/717,019

Art Unit: 3654

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because the "idler roll 40" mentioned on page 4, line 15 is not numbered in the drawings. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

Application/Control Number: 10/717,019

Art Unit: 3654

the invention. In claim 10, line 12, "the control <u>output</u> signal" is without proper antecedent basis.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-14 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of copending Application No. 10/465,219. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-3 of the instant application are somewhat broader than corresponding claims 1-3 of the copending application and therefor are included in and can be gleaned from claims 1-3 of the copending application. Claims 10-13 are almost a duplicate of claims 4-7 of the copending application. The other dependent claims are seen to obvious from their parent claims since, re claim 4, the use of an electric motor, re claim 5, the "web feed forward rate", re claims 7-9, the provision of plural dancers, and re claim 14 the provision of a limited angle electric motor, all would have been obvious from their parent claims since such features are known in the art and would have been obvious modifications to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/717,019

Art Unit: 3654

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-3, 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamamoto et al for the same reasons as in the rejection of claims 1-3 in applicants' copending application.
- 8. Claims 1-6, 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cote for the same reasons as in the rejection of claims 1-4 and 7 in applicants' copending application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al in view of Cote. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use an electric motor in Yamamoto et al in lieu of a fluid motor in view of the teaching of Cote, col. 3, line 33.
- 11. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al in view of Wasserman. Wasserman discloses a pivotal dancer arm 3 having four dancers 5-8 mounted thereon around which the tape is guided. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the dancer arm of Yamamoto et al with plural dancers mounted thereon,

Application/Control Number: 10/717,019

Art Unit: 3654

as taught by Wasserman. If the arm were provided with four dancers then the recitation in claim 8 of two dancers would have been met by the teaching of Wasserman of providing four such dancers.

- 12. Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cote in view of Kawabata et al for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 5 and 6 of the copending application.
- 13. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cote. Since the device of Cote is described as being used with an electric type of motor or spindle drive, and since the dancer of Cote is only movable within certain limits, then it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make that electric motor drive also limited in its angular movement to correspond to the angular movement of the dancer arm.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Smith, Cohn et al and Borresen et al are cited to show other dancer arms having plural dancers. The other art listed on the PTOL-892 was of record in the copending application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M. Jillions whose telephone number is (703) 308-2685. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:15 - 5:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on (703) 308-2688. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/717,019

Art Unit: 3654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John M. Jillions Primary Examiner Art Unit 3654

jmj